The Anti-Money Laundering Act of 2020 – Key Takeaways

January 4, 2021



Overview



- The Anti-Money Laundering Act of 2020
 was enacted as part of the National Defense
 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020 (the
 NDAA) and includes the most substantial
 changes to U.S. anti-money laundering law
 (AML) since the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001.
- While the new law clarifies and streamlines certain Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) and AML obligations, it also imposes new regulatory requirements such as requiring financial institutions to integrate a set of National AML/ Countering the Financing of Terrorism (CFT) Priorities into their compliance programs.

KEY PROVISIONS

- Establishes new beneficial ownership reporting requirements for certain entities doing business in the United States designed to combat money laundering through shell companies;
- Requires the U.S. Treasury to establish National AML and CFT Priorities;
- Increases AML whistleblower awards and expands whistleblower protections;
- Modernizes the statutory definition of "financial institution" to include, consistent with existing Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) regulations, entities that provide services involving "value that substitutes for currency" – a category that includes stored value and virtual currency instruments.
- Enhances penalties for BSA and AML violations;
- Streamlines and modernizes BSA and AML requirements and regulations; and
- Improves **coordination and cooperation** among international, federal, state, and tribal AML law enforcement agencies.

Who Is Covered by the Act?

The Anti-Money Laundering Act of 2020 impacts various types of entities and many of its provisions are applicable to "financial institutions" as broadly defined in the Bank Secrecy Act (31 U.S.C § 5312), including those engaged in the following businesses:

- Banks, thrifts, credit unions and any other insured depository institutions
- Branches and agencies of foreign banks
- Broker-dealers of securities
- Money services businesses, including money transmitters, issuers of checks, money orders or "similar instruments," and foreign exchange dealers
- Nonbank lending companies
- Insurance companies
- Operators of credit card systems
- Mutual funds
- Futures commission merchants
- Travel agencies
- Casinos with revenues over \$1,000,000
- Pawnbrokers
- Dealers in precious metals, stones or jewels
- Other businesses or agencies designated by the Secretary of the Treasury to be an activity similar to a financial institution or whose cash transactions have a high degree of usefulness in criminal, tax, or regulatory matters.

Entities Added to the Definition of "Financial Institutions"

The Anti-Money Laundering Act of 2020 amends the **Bank Secrecy** Act's definition of "Financial Institutions:"

- Clarifies that a financial institution includes any person or business who "engages in as a business the transmission of currency, funds, or value that substitutes for currency."
- Adds any person "engaged in the **trade** of antiquities, including an advisor, consultant, or any other person" who deals in the "**sale of antiquities**."

Codification of the BSA's Applicability to Virtual Currency

Virtual Currency Related Definitions

- The Anti-Money Laundering Act amends the BSA's definition of financial institution to clarify that a financial institution includes any person or business who engages as a business in the transmission of "value that substitutes for currency."
- FinCEN guidance and proposed rulemaking since 2013 have included convertible virtual currencies, such as bitcoin and stablecoins, as value that substitutes for currency for purposes of the money services business definition in FinCEN regulations.

A Focus on FinTech

- U.S. Department of the Treasury must establish a Subcommittee on Innovation and Technology of the Bank Secrecy Act Advisory Group to advise federal and state financial regulators on ways to "most effectively encourage and support technological innovation in the areas" of AML and CFT and reduce certain "obstacles to innovation" resulting from existing BSA regulations.
- FinCEN will appoint an Innovation Officer to support federal and state enforcement agencies and regulators as well as private sector participants with new technologies to assist in compliance with the BSA.
- The U.S. Government Accountability Office Director must conduct a study on the use of virtual currencies to facilitate drug trafficking and human trafficking on online markets.

New Beneficial Ownership Registry

- The Anti-Money Laundering Act of 2020 includes the Corporate Transparency Act, which is intended to discourage the use of shell corporations to disguise and move illicit funds.
- The **Corporate Transparency Act** requires certain U.S. entities and entities doing business in the U.S. to report beneficial ownership information to FinCEN.
- Reporting Companies must provide information for each Beneficial Owner, including:
 - Full legal name
 - Date of birth
 - Current residential or business address
 - The Unique Identifying Number of an acceptable identification document (e.g., passport, driver's license)
- FinCEN will maintain a **national registry** of beneficial ownership information, which will **not be public**.
 - Federal, state, and tribal law enforcement agencies may obtain beneficial ownership information pursuant to a court order.
 - Financial institutions will be able to access the information with their customer's permission.



Corporate Transparency Act v. Customer Due Diligence (CDD) Rule

- The **Corporate Transparency Act** includes many requirements similar to those in FinCEN's **CDD Rule**; however, the requirements are imposed on reporting companies, not covered financial institutions.
- FinCEN is directed to rescind provisions of the existing CDD Rule that overlap with the Corporate Transparency Act's beneficial ownership requirements.
- FinCEN is specifically prohibited from rescinding the requirement to maintain procedures to identify legal entity customers' beneficial owners.

Applicability of New Beneficial Ownership Requirements

- The new beneficial ownership requirements are intended to capture ownership information about **shell companies**.
- The requirements are limited to **Beneficial Owners** of **Reporting Companies** with a number of exceptions.
- A Reporting Company includes:
 - an entity created under the laws of the United States or Indian Tribe; or
 - a foreign entity registered to do business in the United States.
- A **Beneficial Owner** is any entity or individual who (directly or indirectly):
 - exercises substantial control over the entity; or
 - owns or controls 25% or more of the entity's ownership interest.
- The Beneficial Owner definition excludes several categories of entities and individuals, including:
 - Creditors of the entity unless the creditor otherwise holds 25% or more ownership interest or substantial control;
 - Certain individuals acting as custodians or agents for an individual or acting solely as an employee of the entity.
- The Corporate Transparency Act does not define "**substantial control**" and delegates authority to FinCEN to define the term.

Excluded from the Reporting Company Definition

- Publicly traded companies
- Certain non-profits and government entities
- Certain Financial Institutions: banks, credit unions, bank holding companies, savings & loan holding companies, money transmitting businesses, broker-dealers, exchanges or clearing agencies, insurance companies, commodities and futures dealers, public accounting firms, designated financial market utilities, and pooled investment vehicles
- Other entities that meet the following conditions:
 - Employ more than 20 full-time employees;
 - Filed a federal income tax return with more than \$5 million in sales or gross receipts; and
 - Maintain an operating presence in a physical office within the United States.

Implementation of New Beneficial Ownership Requirements

- The Corporate Transparency Act provides an extended timeframe for compliance with the new beneficial ownership reporting requirements.
- Existing entities are not required to report beneficial ownership requirements until 2 years after the effective date of the regulations promulgated under this law, which will likely take at least 18 months.
- Reporting Companies are subject to ongoing obligations to report updated changes in beneficial ownership information no later than 1 year after the date of the change.

Effective Date

Existing Entities

 Reporting Companies formed or registered before the effective date of the promulgated regulations must report beneficial ownership information within 2 years of the effective date of the regulations.

Newly Formed Entities

Reporting Companies formed after the effective date of the promulgated regulations must report beneficial ownership information at the time of formation.

Anti-Money Laundering Supervision and Compliance

National AML Priorities

- The Secretary of the Treasury is required to establish National AML Priorities and update them at least every four years.
- Financial institutions are required to incorporate the National AML Priorities into their AML compliance programs and will be evaluated on that basis.

AML Resource Sharing

 Financial institutions are permitted to enter into collaborative arrangements (as described in the 2018 <u>Interagency Statement on Sharing</u> <u>Bank Secrecy Act Resources</u>) whereby two or more financial institutions may participate in a common activity or pool resources related to AML / BSA compliance.

FinCEN AML Threat Pattern / Trend Sharing

 FinCEN is tasked with sharing threat pattern and trend information with financial institutions.

Treasury Review of Existing BSA Regulations

- The Secretary of the Treasury is required to lead a review of existing BSA regulations and guidance and update them as appropriate.
- By January 2, 2022 and annually thereafter, the Secretary is required to submit to Congress a report of its findings, including administrative and legislative recommendations.

BSA / AML Training

 Annual BSA / AML training is required for all federal bank examiners.

Updated AML Whistleblower Incentives

Expanded AML Whistleblower Incentives

- The Anti-Money Laundering Act expands the whistleblower awards that result in monetary sanctions of more than \$1 million.
- Eligible whistleblowers may receive **up to 30%** of the collected monetary sanction imposed in the action.

Current SEC Whistleblower

- The expanded AML whistleblower incentives mirror the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) current whistleblower incentives.
- Under the SEC's current whistleblower rules, to be eligible for an award, the individual must provide original information about a violation to the SEC, and the information must lead to a successful resolution with total monetary sanctions over \$1 million.
- Whistleblower awards can range from 10 to 30% of the sanctions collected.

Prior AML Current SEC Anti-Money Laundering Act of Whistleblower Whistleblower Incentive Incentives 2020 Original Original information that Original information that leads to any information that leads to successful monies (other than leads to a fine. Eligibility resolution with total forfeiture. penalty, or money sanctions restitution, or victim forfeiture of exceeding \$1 compensation) \$50,000 or more million exceeding \$1 million The lesser of up to 25% of the 10 to 30% of the Up to 30% of the Award collected money or collected money collected money \$150,000

SEC Whistleblower Incentives by the Numbers

- Since 2012: \$736 million was awarded to 128 individuals
- For Fiscal Year 2020: 23,650 tips, complaints, and referrals resorting in \$175 million awarded to 29 individuals

Davis Polk

Comparison of AML Whistleblower Incentives

New AML Whistleblower Protections

- The Anti-Money Laundering Act also includes new provisions to protect eligible AML whistleblowers from employer retaliation, including discharge, demotion, blacklisting, and harassment.
- Whistleblowers subject to retaliation may file a complaint with the U.S. Secretary of Labor.
- Prevailing whistleblowers are eligible for relief, including:
 - Reinstatement with the same seniority status;
 - Compensatory damages (including litigation expenses);
 - Two times the amount of back pay otherwise owed to the individual (with interest); and
 - Any other appropriate remedy with respect to the conduct that is subject to the complaint or action.



Comparison to Current SEC Whistleblower Protections

- SEC Rule 21F-17 prohibits any person from taking action to prevent whistleblowing to the SEC.
- The SEC has brought enforcement cases for severance agreement clauses meant to prevent whistleblower submissions.
- **Digital Realty v. Somers (2018)**: The U.S. Supreme Court found that the Dodd-Frank Act's whistleblower protection is only available to whistleblowers who report directly to the SEC, not those who solely report internally.

Enhanced Anti-Money Laundering Penalties

Additional Civil Monetary Damages for Repeat AML Violations

 The Secretary of the Treasury may impose additional civil monetary penalties for certain repeat violators of AML laws, including three times the profit gained or loss avoided as a result of the violation or two times the maximum penalty for the violation.

Claw Back of Certain Bonuses for AML Convictions

 Certain partners, directors, officers, or employees of financial institutions convicted of violating the BSA are required to repay any bonus paid to that individual during the calendar year during which or after the violation occurred.

Report to Congress on AML Deferred Prosecution Agreements and Non-Prosecution Agreements

 The Department of Justice is required to provide an annual report to Congress for the next five years, which includes a list of deferred prosecution agreements and non-prosecution agreements during the covered year with respect to a violation or suspected violation of the BSA as well as a justification for such actions.

Prohibition of Certain AML Violators from Serving on Boards of U.S. Financial Institutions

 Any individual found to have committed an "egregious violation" of the BSA is barred from serving on the board of directors of a U.S. financial institution for 10 years from the date of conviction or judgment.

Other Anti-Money Laundering Provisions

Assessment of BSA No-Action Letters

• The Director of FinCEN must conduct an assessment as to whether to establish a process for the issuance of FinCEN no-action letters and, if appropriate, promulgate regulations to implement the findings of the assessment.

Review and Update of Currency Transaction Reporting (CTR) and Suspicious Activity Reporting (SAR) Requirements

 By January 2, 2022 and at least once every 5 years for the 10 year duration period, the Secretary of the Treasury must review financial institution reporting requirements related to CTRs and SARs – including dollar thresholds and streamlined and automated SARs for noncomplex reports – and propose changes to those requirements to reduce those that are unnecessarily burdensome and determine the appropriate dollar amount threshold for such requirements.

FinCEN Exchange

- FinCEN is required to establish a voluntary public-private information sharing partnership among law enforcement agencies and financial institutions to coordinate and combat money laundering and terrorist financing.
- The information shared on the FinCEN Exchange may "not be used for any purposes other than identifying and reporting activities that may involve" anti-money laundering violations.
- FinCEN will promulgate regulations that establish policies and procedures for protecting shared information.

Coordination with International AML Enforcement Agencies

• The Secretary of the Treasury is required to work with foreign counterparts to promote stronger AML frameworks and enforcement of AML laws.

Pilot Program on Sharing SARs with Certain Foreign Affiliates

 By January 2, 2022, the Secretary of the Treasury is required to issue rules establishing a pilot program to enable financial institutions to share SARs with their foreign affiliates—except for foreign branches, subsidiaries, and affiliates in certain locations such as China, Russia, and jurisdictions subject to U.S. sanctions.

Davis Polk Contacts

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered in this publication, please contact any of the lawyers listed below or your regular Davis Polk contact.

Greg D. Andres	212-540-4724	greg.andres@davispolk.com
Robert A. Cohen	202-962-7047	robert.cohen@davispolk.com
Luigi L. De Ghenghi	212-450-4296	luigi.deghenghi@davispolk.com
Tatiana R. Martins	212-450-4085	tatiana.martins@davispolk.com
Jai R. Massari	202-962-7062	jai.massari@davispolk.com
Paul J. Nathanson	202-962-7055	paul.nathanson@davispolk.com
John B. Reynolds, III	202-962-7143	john.reynolds@davispolk.com
Will Schisa	202-962-7129	will.schisa@davispolk.com
Daniel P. Stipano	202-962-7012	dan.stipano@davispolk.com
Margaret E. Tahyar	212-450-4379	margaret.tahyar@davispolk.com